MCKOOL SMITH HENNIGAN P.C. Roderick G. Dorman (96908) RDorman@mckoolsmithhennigan.com 865 South Figueroa St. Suite 2900 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: (213) 694-1200 Facsimile: (213) 694-1234 4 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff TiVo Inc. 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 TIVO INC., Case No. SACVI2-898-JST (AKK) Plaintiff. 11 12 VS. DISCOVERY MATTER 13 VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS .; VERIZON SERVICES COŔP.; 14 PETITION TO ENFORCE VERÍZON CORPORATE SUBPOENA TO THIRD PARTY RESOURCES GROUP, LLC 15 BROADCOM IN CASE PENDING VERIZON CORPORATE SÉRVICES IN FOREIGN JURISDICTION GROUP INC.; and VERIZON DATA 16 (EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS) SERVICES LLC. 17 Defendants. 18 19 PETITION TO COMPEL COMPLIANCE Plaintiff, by and through its attorneys of record, Mckool Smith Hennigan 20 21 P.C., hereby brings this Petition to Compel Compliance with the subpoena issued by the United States District Court for the Central District of California in the case 22 23 pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, entitled 24 TiVo Inc. v. Verizon Communications Inc,. et al., Case 2:09-cv-257-DF-CMC, to 25 compel third-party Broadcom to produce documents and appear at a deposition 26 pursuant to Rules 30(b)(6), 34, and 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Civil Local Rule 37-2. 28 PETITION TO ENFORCE SUBPOENA TO

ETITION TO ENFORCE SUBPOENA TO THIRD PARTY BROADCOM Case No. 1

PARTIES

2

5

4

2.

7

8

10

11

14 15

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

- Plaintiff TiVo INC. ("TiVo") is a corporation organized under the laws 1. of Delaware, having its principal place of business at 2160 Gold Street, Alviso, California 95002-2160.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant Verizon Communications is a corporation formed under Delaware law and has its principal place of business at 140 West Street, New York, New York 10007.
- 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Verizon Services Corp. is a corporation formed under Delaware law and has its principal place of business at 1320 North Court House Road, Arlington, Virginia 22201.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant Verizon Corporate Resources 4. Group LLC is a limited liability company formed under Delaware law and has its principal place of business at One Verizon Way, Basking Ridge, New Jersey 07920.
- 5. Upon information and belief, Defendant Verizon Corporate Services Group is a New York corporation with a place of business at One Verizon Way, Basking Ridge, New Jersey 07920.
- 6. Upon information and belief, Defendant Verizon Data Services LLC is a Delaware limited liability company with a place of business at 7701 East Telecom Parkway, B3E, Temple Terrace, Florida 33637.
- 7. Upon information and belief, third party Broadcom Corporation ("Broadcom") is a California corporation with a place of business at 5300 California Avenue, Irvine, California 92617.

JURISDICTION

8. The case to which this discovery matter pertains, TiVo Inc. v. Verizon Comms. et al., Case 2:09-cv-257-DF-CMC (the "Main Case"), involves patent infringement claims based upon and arising under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 100 et seq., and is currently pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas. Therefore, this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action by virtue of 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

VENUE

9. Venue is proper in this district because third-party Broadcom resides within this judicial district and the events or omission which are the basis of the dispute underlying this Petition occurred within this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a)(l), (2).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. On or about August 26, 2010, the United States District Court for the Central District of California issued a Subpoena in a Civil Case (the "August 2010 Subpoena") to third-party Broadcom, pursuant to Rules 34 and 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for use by Plaintiff TiVo in the Main Case.
- Plaintiff TiVo served the August 2010 Subpoena on third-party 11. Broadcom on or about August 27, 2010.
- On or about August 11, 2011, the United States District Court for the 12. 16 Central District of California issued a Subpoena in a Civil Case (the "August 2011 17 | Subpoena") to third-party Broadcom, pursuant to Rules 30(b)(6) and 45 of the 18 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for use by Plaintiff TiVo in the Main Case.
 - 13. Plaintiff TiVo served the August 2011 Subpoena on third-party Broadcom on or about August 11, 2012.
- 14. Plaintiff TiVo and third-party Broadcom have met and conferred extensively regarding compliance with the August 2010 Subpoena and August 2011 Subpoena. Although third-party Broadcom agreed to and did produce documents to 24 | Plaintiff TiVo, Plaintiff TiVo believes that production is incomplete. Despite TiVo's repeated requests, third-party Broadcom has declined to confirm that it will provide a witness to testify in response to the August 2011 Subpoena.

3

4

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

19

21

23

25

26

2654744

1	15.	On June 1, 2012, Plaintiff TiV	o sent third-party Broadcom a meet and			
2	confer letter pursuant to Central District Local Rule 37-1, requesting that third-part					
3	Broadcom produce documents and appear for a deposition.					
4	16.	On June 4, 2012, counsel time	ly met and conferred to try to resolve the			
5	dispute.					
6	17.	Despite Plaintiff TiVo's coope	erative efforts to narrow its discovery			
7	requests, third-party Broadcom refuses to agree to comply with the August 2010					
8	Subpoena and August 2011 Subpoena by producing documents and appearing for a					
9	deposition. Instead, third-party Broadcom has insisted that Plaintiff TiVo file a					
10	motion to co	ompel.				
11	18.	Plaintiff TiVo is left with no a	Iternative but to seek this Court's			
12	assistance in compelling third-party Broadcom's immediate compliance with the					
13	August 2010 Subpoena and August 2011 Subpoena.					
14	PRAYER FOR RELIEF					
15	Based on the above, Plaintiff TiVo prays for judgment as follows:					
16	(1) To compel third-party Broadcom to produce documents and attend					
17	deposition in compliance with the Subpoena pursuant to Rules 30(b)(6), 34, and 45					
18	of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Civil Local Rule 37-2.					
19	(2) For Plaintiff TiVo's attorney fees, costs, and expenses incurred to compel					
20	compliance of the Subpoena against third-party Broadcom; and					
21	(3) Fo	or other such relief as the Court	deems just and proper.			
22	Dated: June	e 6, 2012	Respectfully submitted,			
23			<u>/s/ Roderick G. Dorman</u>			
24			MCKOOL SMITH HENNIGAN P.C.			
25			MCKOOL SMITH HENNIGAN P.C. Roderick G. Dorman (96908) RDorman@mckoolsmithhennigan.con 865 South Figueroa St.			
26			Suite 2900 Los Angeles, CA 90017			
27			Telephone: (213) 694-1200 Facsimile: (213) 694-1234 Attorneys for Plaintiff TiVo Inc.			
28			Attorneys for Plaintiff TiVo Inc.			
- 1	I					

- 4 -

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Josephine Tucker and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Arthur Nakazato.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

SACV12-898 JST (ANx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge
=======================================
NOTICE TO COUNSEL
A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).
Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

[X] Southern Division

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

[] Western Division

312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8

Los Angeles, CA 90012

411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053

Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516

□ Eastern Division

3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134

Riverside, CA 92501

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself □) TIVO INC., PETITIONER				DI	DEFENDANTS BROADCOM CORPORATION, RESPONDENTS								
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are representing yourself, provide same.) FOR PETITIONER: Roderick G. Dorman, Mckool Smith Hennigan P.C., 865 South Figueroa St., Suite 2900, Los Angeles, CA 90017, (213) 694-1200, RDorman@mckoolsmithhennigan.com				n P.C., 865	Attorneys (If Known) FOR RESPONDENTS: Amanda Tessar, Perkins Coie LLP, 1900 Sixteenth Street, Suite 1400, Denver, CO 80202-5255, 303.291.2357, atessar@perkinscoie.com								
п. ва	II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only (Place an X in one box for pleintiff and one for defendant.)												
🗆 1 U.	S. Government Plaintiff	ď(3	Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party))	Citizen of This Sta	le		PTF I	DEF □ 1	Incorporated or F of Business in th		PTF □4	DEF
□2 U.	S. Government Defendant	l □4	Diversity (Indicate Citize of Parties in Item III)	enship	Citizen of Another	State		□2 (□2	Incorporated and of Business in Ar		e □5	D 5
			·		Citizen or Subject	of a Fore	eign Country	□3 I	3	Foreign Nation		□6	□6
IV. O	RIGIN (Place an X in on	e box o	nly.)					•					
1 Original 2 Removed from 3 Remanded from 4 Reinstated or 5 Transferred from another district (specify): 6 Multi-District District Judge from Reopened Reopened Litigation Magistrate Judge													
v. RE	QUESTED IN COMPL	AINT:	JURY DEMAND:	∕es p	No (Check 'Yes' o	aly if de	manded in con	nplaint.))				
CLAS	S ACTION under F.R.C	.P. 23:	□ Yes KNo		DMC	NEY D	EMANDED I	N CON	APL,A	INT: S			
VI. C	AUSE OF ACTION (Cit	e the U.	S. Civil Statute under whi	ch you	are filing and write	brief st	atement of car	se. Do	not ci	ite jurisdictional st	atutes unless d	versity.)
			notion to compel depositio	n and d	locuments from third	party E	roadcom purs	uant to	Fed. F	t. Civ. Proc. 30(b)	(6), 34, and 45	(no stat	ute)
VII. N	ATURE OF SUIT (Plac	e an X	in one box only.)		·								
400	Agricultural Act Economic Stabilization Act Environmental Matters Energy Allocation Act Freedom of Info. Act	120 130 140 150 151 152 153 160 190 195 210 220 230 240 245	Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits Stockholders' Suits Other Contract Contract Product Liability Franchise Land Condemnation Foreclosure	□ 310 □ 315 □ 320 □ 330 □ 340 □ 345 □ 350 □ 362 □ 363 □ 368 □ 368	Airplane Airplane Product Liability Assault, Libel & Slander Fed. Employers' Liability Marine Marine Product Liability Motor Vehicle Motor Vehicle Product Liability Other Personal Injury Personal Injury Med Malpractice Personal Injury Product Liability Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability Asterior Naturalization Application Habeas Corpus- Alien Detainingration Actions	□ 371 □ 380 □ 385 □ 422 □ 423 □ 441 □ 443 □ 444 □ 445	Other Fraud Truth in Len Other Person Property Dan Product Liab Product Liab Appeal 28 U 158 Withdrawal USC 157 Voting Employment Housing/Acc mmodations Welfare American wi Disabilities Employment American wi Disabilities Cother Other Civil Rights	ding hal half half half half half half half	1530 1535 1540 1555 1555 1610 1625 1625 1630 1640 1650 1650	Other Civil Rights Prison Condition	Discla Table Tab	/Mgmt. ions /Mgmt. ting & soure Ad ay Labor tion Ret. In ity Act ights mark /// Lung (! // DIWV g) Title X' 105(g) (U.S. P fendant) hird Pan	ot or Act c. (23) (7) VI
950	State Statutes			1		•		1			l		
950	•					_						······································	
950	•		: CA	^(I)) = 00	9							

CV-71 (05/08)

· CIVIL COVER SHEET

Page 1 of 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a), IDENTICAL CASES: H If yes, list case number(s):	as this action been pr	reviously filed in this court an	ad dismissed, remanded or closed? WNo □ Yes			
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Harlif yes, list case number(s):	ve any cases been pro	eviously filed in this court that	at are related to the present case? MNo DYes			
D.C.	Arise from the sam Call for determinati	e or closely related transactio ion of the same or substantial rould entail substantial duplic	ns, happenings, or events; or ly related or similar questions of law and fact; or ation of labor if heard by different judges; or , <u>and</u> one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.			
IX. VENUE: (When completing th	e following informat	ion, use an additional sheet if	necessary.)			
			f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. this box is checked, go to item (b).			
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country Petitioner TiVo: Santa Clara County			
			f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. If this box is checked, go to item (c).			
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
Respondent Broadcom: Orange County						
Note: In land condemnation County in this District:*	cases, use the locati		f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose. ved. California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
Los Angeles and Orange Count	les	-				
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Berns Note: In land condemnation cases, 1	ise the location of the	e tract of land involved				
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY	(OR PRO PER):	/s/ Roderick G. L	Dorman Date June 6, 2012			
or other papers as required by is	w. This form, appro-	ved by the Judicial Conference	mation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings e of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed ting the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)			
Key to Statistical codes relating to S	ocial Security Cases					
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action				
861	на	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))				
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)				
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2.of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))				
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))				
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.				
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))				
CV-71 (05/08)		CIVIL C	COVER SHEET Page 2 of 2			